Michael P. Thorman, State Bar No. 63008
BONJOUR, THORMAN, BARAY & BILLINGSLEY
24301 Southland Drive, Suite 312
Hayward, CA 94544
(510) 785-8400
michael@btbandb.com
Attorneys for Defendant

5

Lindsey Bertelson

LINDSEY BERTELSON

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

VS.

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

No. CR-07-00780-1 SBA

Plaintiff,

MOTION TO CORRECT SENTENCE [F.R.Cr. P. 35(a)]

D - C - . 1 - . .

Defendant.

COMES NOW defendant LINDSEY BERTELSON, by and through her counsel Michael P. Thorman, who hereby moves pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 35 (a) to correct the Court's Judgment of Imprisonment, entered April 29, 2008, to state the defendant's term of imprisonment is twelve months and one day rather than twelve months. The United States does not oppose this motion.

The ground for this motion is that defendant is not entitled to any good time credit on a sentence of twelve months whereas she is entitled to earn good time credit on a sentence of twelve months and a day, and the Court, in imposing the bottom of the guideline range, could not have intended to impose a sentence having such an effect.

This motion is based on all documents on file in this action, the attached memorandum of points and authorities, and the attached declaration of counsel. No hearing date is requested inasmuch as this correction is a ministerial act which must be performed within 7 days

	Case 4:07-cr-00780-SBA	Document 17	Filed 05/02/2008	Page 2 of 6
1	of April 29, 2008.			
2				
3	DATED: May 2, 2008			
4 5		Respectfu	lly Submitted	
6		Respectiu	my Submitted	
7			/s/ L P. THORMAN	
8		MICHAE Attorney	L P. THORMAN for Lindsey Bertelsen	
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15 16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
2728				
۷٥				
		2		

imprisonment permitted by the guidelines. Had counsel advised the Court that defendant would

28

serve all twelve months of a twelve month sentence, but would only serve 80% of a sentence of twelve months and one day, the Court undoubtedly would have increased the sentence by one day to confer this benefit on the defendant. The sentence therefore appears to have resulted from a technical or arithmetical error which can be corrected pursuant to Rule 35(a). DATED: May 2, 2008 Respectfully Submitted, MICHAEL P.THORMAN

Document 17

Filed 05/02/2008

Page 4 of 6

Case 4:07-cr-00780-SBA

28

26

27

Kaleba who represented the United States in this matter at sentencing. He has advised me that

the United States has no opposition to the correction of Ms. Bertelsen's sentence.

1	I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct.
2	Executed this 2 nd day of May, 2008 at Hayward, California.
3	
4	
5	/s/ MICHAEL P. THORMAN
6	MICHAEL P. THORMAN
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	